

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/749,066	12/31/2003	Kenneth A. Tarlow	7373		
7590 06/24/2005			EXAMINER		
KENNETH A. TARLOW			SCRUGGS, ROBERT J		
21 GOLDEN HIND PSG. CORTE MADERA, CA 94925			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3723		

DATE MAILED: 06/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					_ \
		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	,
		10/749,06	66	TARLOW, KENNETH A.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
		Robert Sc		3723	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	nication appears on the	cover sheet with the	e correspondence address	
A SH THE - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD I MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com period for reply specified above is less than thirty (6) period for reply is specified above, the maximum is the to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. Is of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ever imunication. (30) days, a reply within the state statutory period will apply and will by will, by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be story minimum of thirty (30) of Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS for ication to become ABANDO	timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	led on <u>11 June 2004</u> .			
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is n	on-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the prac				
Dispositi	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 6-10</u> is/are rejected Claim(s) <u>4,5 and 11</u> is/are objected Claim(s) are subject to restr	are withdrawn from co ed. I to.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
• •	The specification is objected to by t	he Examiner.			
<i>,</i> —	The drawing(s) filed on is/are		objected to by th	e Examiner.	
,	Applicant may not request that any obj	ection to the drawing(s) t	e held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) includir The oath or declaration is objected				•
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) [a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priorit 2. Certified copies of the priorit 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internations of the attached detailed Office actions.	y documents have bee y documents have bee s of the priority docume ional Bureau (PCT Rul	n received n received in Applic ents have been rece e 17.2(a)).	ation No vived in this National Stage	
2) Notice 3) Information Paper	te of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/749,066

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Drawings

1. The drawings were received on 6/14/04. These drawings are not accepted.

According to the requirements under 37 CFR § 1.84, Standards for drawings, all drawings must be made by a process, which will give them satisfactory reproduction characteristics. Every line, number, and letter must be durable, clean, black (except for color drawings), sufficiently dense and dark, and uniformly thick and well defined. The use of shading in views is encouraged if it aids in understanding the invention and if it does not reduce legibility. Shading is used to indicate the surface or shape of spherical, cylindrical, and conical elements of an object.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 11 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 11 is objected to for merely reciting functional language directed to the intended use of the utensil and does not provide further structure to limit the independent claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:-

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/749,066 Page 3

Art Unit: 3723

4. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite

for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant

regards as the invention. This claim discloses the function of the kitchen tools but does

not positively recite which kitchen tools are to be used.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that

form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United

States.

6. Claims 1,2 and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

Stimpson (4823419). Stimpson discloses a multi purpose kitchen temperature resistant

utensil comprising of an elongated handle having recessed portions to provide storage

for a plurality of kitchen tools (column 1, lines 23-26 and 29-33). The handle is foldable

such that when the handle is folded the kitchen tools at each end nest compactly with

one another (Figure 2). Stimpson teaches that when the multi purpose kitchen utensil is

folded together the spatula is adapted to act as a strainer (column 1 lines 43-47) and

the scoop is adapted to act as tongs (column 2 lines 11-13). The handle is made up of

two members, which fit together end to end (Figure 1). The two members are joined by

an inner elastic cord, which is fastened to the insides of each of the assembled handle

parts (column 1 lines 49 -54 and column 2 lines 1-2). This multi purpose utensil

Art Unit: 3723

incorporates nine elements, which include: a standard spatula, spoon, pot lifter, tongs, ladle, strainer, measuring container, a serrated knife, and grater (column 4 lines 2-6).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stimpson (4823419) in view of Haddock (4716947). Stimpson shows all of the claimed invention except for the storage case for the multi purpose kitchen utensil. Haddock discloses a storage case made from a combination of plastic and a cloth material (column 1 lines 24-27). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Stimpson by providing a storage case as taught by Haddock in order to obtain a secure storage for the multi purpose kitchen utensil.
- 9. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stimpson in view of Elsener (D433915) and Grotz (3406450). Stimpson shows all of the claimed invention except for the stored tools within the handles, which include a corkscrew, a bottle opener, a can opener, and a vegetable peeler. Elsener discloses a pocket tool containing a corkscrew, a bottle opener, and a can opener. Grotz teaches of a peeler (Figure 1). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

Application/Control Number: 10/749,066 Page 5

Art Unit: 3723

invention was made to modify Stimpson by combining one multi tool with another in order to have the components of Elsener and Grotz in the multi purpose kitchen utensil.

Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claims 4 and 5 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Scruggs whose telephone number is 571-272-8682. The examiner can normally be reached on m-f.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail can be reached on 571-272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RS

DAVID B. THOMAS
PRIMARY EXAMINER

147727